FACSIMILE - 202-586-4401

SEP 0.5 2981

The Honorable Spencer Abraham Secretary of Energy U.S. Department of Energy 1000 Independence Ave. SW Wanteron, D.C. 20585

My organization object to the tack of proper, reasonable notice and opportunity for hearing on the DOE's site recommendated of Yucca Mountain for a national high-level nuclear waste repository. On August 21, 2011, with less than 30 days for any interested person to read the DOE's more than 370 page leng technical report, "Yucca Mountain Preliminary Site Suitability Evaluation," your agency and timeed it was holding public hearings on the site recommendation of Yucca Mountain in Nevada between September 5th and 13th. Not only are these hearings meed it was holding public hearings on the site recommendation provided with totally inadequate notice, but, for persons who will be affected by the requisite transportation of high-level national waste through their hometowns via rail and road, your agency has provided no opportunity to comment on the suitability of the recommendation. A few meetings in Nevada does not take close to providing an opportunity for public hearing on a project of this magnitude and immense potential danger to those on transportation routes foroughout the county. In addition, it is plain that the notice you provided was defective not only for being absurdly short notice, but for providing notice to meet at a place, canceling that site, then providing another site with an incorrect address. Moreover, your agency provided that final notice of the meeting at the wing address on August 31st, the beginning of the long Labor Day weekend, less than five days at the meeting including the intervening weekend and holiday. Finally, it is plain from the natices your agency published in the Federal Register on August 21. Finally, it is plain from the natices your agency published in the Federal Register on August 21. 27 and 31, that the public is not clearly placed on notice that these are public hearings on your agency's decision to recommend Yucca Mountain as the site for a national high-level nuclear waste repository. Persons remains the notice are given the impression that these are merely hearings on the preliminary and suitability evaluation. Even then, your provision of less than thirty days notice from the availability of the 370 page evaluation and the few hearings in Nevada make it impossible for ordinary citizens to have any meaningful participation in such meetings. The notice was absently short even to arrange one's schedule to attend, too far away from most persons who are interested due to being on the high-level nuclear waste transportation couldnot too differ the substance of the 376-plus range evaluation of routes, too little time to acquire and assimilate the substance of the 370-plus page evaluation of the site, and completely defeative due to the errors mentioned above. There is no doubt that, no matter what provisions may the in the Nuclear Waste Policy Act, the United States Constitution's Fifth Amendment protections shapping that persons such as ourselves—and affected Nevadans—must have at least reasonable intice and an opportunity to be heard on a decision such as this one, a decision with vast repetitussions and grave potential dangers. Your agency's actions in this regard have not come even either to meeting the most minimal constitutional protections of citizens' rights to due procession this instance. We are certain you have violated our rights and those of the citizens of Nevada: We call on you to reschedule these hearings with adequate notice and a meaningful opportunity to be heard by all persons who will be affected by your agency's recommendation of Yucca lightain as the national high-level waste repository.

Sincerely,

owe Kahannes

Jorma Kahanpaa, Swedish A Nuclear Movement